

1 **Geosite investigations: A framework for deciphering geological histories; an example from**  
2 **the Panama Rock region in the proposed Banks Peninsula GeoPark, Canterbury, New**  
3 **Zealand**

4  
5 Natasha Simpson

6 *Department of Geological Sciences, University of Canterbury, Christchurch, New Zealand*  
7 *Geology Department, Pomona College, Claremont, California, USA*  
8

---

9 **ABSTRACT**

10 **GeoParks have the potential to bring communities together. They can make them stronger through**  
11 **the focused efforts of creating a sustainable, educational, and community run tourist attraction that is**  
12 **focused on the natural and cultural history of an area. One of the staples of a GeoPark is the array of**  
13 **GeoSites within the confines of the park. In order to be successful these GeoSites must relay complex**  
14 **scientific information to the public in a way that is easy to understand and that captures the interest of the**  
15 **visitors. In this study, the Panama rock region was used as an example of relating geological information and**  
16 **processes. The first objective was to compile field observations and maps, analyze geochemical data and thin**  
17 **section observations to determine volcanic activities that created the region. This region highlights features**  
18 **including: the Panama Rock lava dome and dike, a dikes that cross-cuts through a smaller trachytic lava**  
19 **dome, a dike infilling an old scoria fissure in contact with scoria deposits, and a dike feeding a small upwelled**  
20 **lava in contact with a large ridge of welded scoria spatter. The second objective was to translate that**  
21 **information, using CoreIDRAW, into an easy-to-understand information board complete with schematics and**  
22 **diagrams depicting the features' volcanic origins. A small study was done in order to measure the success of**  
23 **the use of diagrams in the informational board; this study yielded positive results. In doing this work, a**  
24 **framework for further GeoSites has been established.**

25  
26 **INTRODUCTION**

27 GeoParks bring together communities, recreation, scientific research, education, and  
28 tourism in one naturally and culturally significant area. These parks are envisioned and planned  
29 at a community level and use sustainable practices to keep it running. When established these  
30 parks have proven to be remarkable examples of sustainable business growth. There are currently  
31 efforts being made to apply for the approval for Horomaka Banks Peninsula GeoPark; if  
32 approved, this would be New Zealand's first GeoPark. This area is comprised of some of the best  
33 exposed volcanic features in the country as a result of volcanism some 13 to 6 million years ago  
34 (Hampton and Cole 2009). There is also a large variety of flora and fauna, Maori history, land  
35 use, and early European settlement history around the peninsula giving it a rich complex heritage  
36 that make it an ideal GeoPark location.

37 The GeoSites within the park have underlying geology that make them features on their  
38 own, but often have significant archeological, ecological, and cultural influences incorporated  
39 into the sites. It is critical to determine the natural and cultural histories of each site and then  
40 translate that scientific knowledge to the general public in an easy-to-understand manner. A  
41 study on the Brazil GeoParks by Piranha et al. (2001) summed up the important things to

42 consider when creating a successful GeoPark education system. The information given in a  
43 GeoPark should be delivered in a “contextualized and globalized manner so that information and  
44 knowledge can evoke a greater interest in learning, values, and citizenship skills” (Piranha et al.  
45 2001, p.294). This study uses the Panama Rock region as an example of this process. The  
46 process begins with collecting and deciphering the scientific information. The information is  
47 then put into an informational board dedicated to the science of the GeoSite, with the goal of  
48 educating the tourists and community how the features formed.

49 The Panama Rock region lies on the northeast flanks of Akaroa volcano, one of two main  
50 volcanoes that created the peninsula. By combining schematics, diagrams, and petrographic  
51 images with simple explanations of this scientific knowledge, the GeoPark visitors will be able  
52 to understand the geologic events that created the Peninsula. GeoPark visitors will be able to see  
53 and learn about the various geological features on a large, small, and microscopic scale as they  
54 travel around the peninsula.

## 55 **GEOLOGIC BACKGROUND**

### 56 *History of Banks Peninsula Volcanoes*

57 Located to the southeast of Christchurch, New Zealand, Banks Peninsula was shaped by  
58 the formation and resulting volcanic activity of the Lyttelton and Akaroa volcanoes. These are  
59 both Miocene in age and there is no evidence for formation due to tectonic extension or a mantle  
60 plume. Timm et. al. (2009) proposed that these volcanoes have formed by delamination of the  
61 lower crust. The two volcanoes are believed to have formed from two separate delamination  
62 events, Lyttelton from 11 to 9.7 m.y.a. and Akaroa from 9.3 to 8 m.y.a. (Hampton and Cole  
63 2009). Akaroa is known to have lower silica concentrations, and samples suggest the source was  
64 eclogite with peridotite matrix (Timm et. al. 2009). The Lyttelton volcano erupted deposits  
65 higher in silica which suggested a mix of aesthenospheric melts and lithospheric melts (Timm et  
66 at 2009). The geologic features seen around the peninsula are mainly sourced from events  
67 associated with volcanic activity both these main volcanoes. The features include: constructional  
68 features like lava flows, scoria cones, and domes and hypabyssal features such as dikes and sills  
69 and erosional features (Hampton and Cole 2009).

### 70 *Panama Rock region*

71 The region used in this study is located on the flanks of Akaroa volcano, 3 km west of Le  
72 Bons Bay. Panama Rock itself is a lava dome approximately 200 m in diameter that has a 10 m

73 thick dike running into it. A few hundred meters northwest there are various small-scale volcanic  
74 features including: a small trachytic lava dome with a cross-cutting dike, an exposed lava flow  
75 underneath a large ridge made of strongly welded scoria spatter, a in filled scoria fissure, and  
76 another set of parallel dikes. One dike has a contact with the red scoria deposits that dip away  
77 from either side of the dike. The other dike potentially feeds a small upwelled lava feature that is  
78 adjacent to the spatter ridge. These features are believed to have originated from magma similar  
79 to or slightly more evolved than the magma that fed Akaroa volcano, based on geochemistry and  
80 crystal textures.

## 81 **METHODOLOGY**

82 A field study was completed on Panama rock and the surrounding area. Key geologic  
83 features that were observed included: Panama Rock lava dome and dike, an in filled scoria  
84 fissure, a smaller trachytic lava dome, multiple dikes, and a massive ridge outcrop of strongly  
85 welded scoria spatter, as well as scoria deposits that exhibited lesser degrees of welding.  
86 Samples were taken from most sites, as well as GPS coordinates, photos, strike/dip  
87 measurements, and other observations. This information was compiled to put together a rough  
88 geologic map of the area that was completed during the field excursion.

89 To complete the first objective of determining the small-scale volcanic events that  
90 occurred, a variety of methods were used. Cross cutting relationships were taken into account, as  
91 well as whole rock geochemistry results from various sample sites around the region, to correlate  
92 the various sites. Major and trace element analyses were carried out by University of Canterbury  
93 lab technicians using x-ray fluorescence spectroscopy (XRF) and analyzed by Rowan Lowden.  
94 Oriented thin sections of dikes and domes were analyzed by Jenn Garvin.

95 The second objective, of translating the information to the public through a GeoSite, was  
96 done using CorelDRAW. A large A2 informational board was created to compile the information  
97 complete with diagrams and other schematics to illustrate the small-scale volcanic events that  
98 formed the key features around the region. Petrographic images were also added to show the  
99 micro-scale structures in rock samples seen around the site. To attempt to evaluate the success of  
100 such a schematically-driven information board, a small study was completed. 12 individuals with  
101 no geology background were asked to participate. 6 randomly chosen were given only the  
102 description of the small lava dome with onion-skin jointing, and the other 6 were given the  
103 description along with the figure that depicts the dome formation (Appendix 1). Participants

104 were given 2 minutes to read over the information before being asked to return the paper with the  
 105 explanation. Ten minutes later participants were asked to write down how the onion-skin jointing  
 106 forms. A maximum of 3 points could be awarded for an answer exhibiting a thorough  
 107 understanding of how this feature forms.

108 **RESULTS**

109 Geochemical data did not vary enough to distinguish major variations between the  
 110 features enough to put on the informational board. A slight evolution in the magma was seen  
 111 with increasing silica content within the two basaltic dikes, scoria deposits, and what is assumed  
 112 to be a small upwelled lava or highly welded scoria. The analyses of geochemistry are discussed  
 113 in detail in Lowden’s study (2013), but were not used to explain features on the GeoSite board.  
 114 Thin section photo micrographs were used to display two micro-scale structures including a  
 115 small scoria spatter clast and plagioclase crystal alignment due to flow banding in Panama Rock.  
 116 Schematics and small-scale volcanic diagrams were created using CorelDraw and were either  
 117 adapted from previously made figures, made originally, or taken and cited from other sources.  
 118 The final product is attached to the end of this report.

119 Results from the 12 person (Table 1) study showed a general increase in understanding of  
 120 the volcanic formation from the people who were shown both the diagram and worded  
 121 description. Five out of six participants who were shown both the description and diagram scored  
 122 a perfect score, while only two of the six in the other group scored the perfect three.

123 **Table 1.** Compiled scores for Group 1 (description only) and Group 2 (description and diagram).

124 Scores ranged from 0-3 and were determined based on the displayed level of  
 125 understanding of onion-skin jointing formation

Group 1 Description only	3	1	2	2	3	1	<b>Total: 12</b>
Group 2 Description and diagram	3	3	3	3	2	3	<b>Total: 17</b>

126

127 **DISCUSSION**

128 The volcanic processes needed to be translated into layman’s terms in order to create the  
 129 successful GeoSite informational board. In a short article in Nature magazine from 1913 it was  
 130 concluded that the main factor in successfully teaching the natural sciences to a public audience

131 includes insuring that the knowledge is thread in a logical sequence that links together events,  
132 sciences, and communities in a logical and interesting method. That was the goal of this project.

133 Figures, photographs, and diagrams complemented the worded description with the  
134 intent of making the information easier to understand. These diagrams can get complicated  
135 easily, especially when trying to depict processes going on in the subsurface. If the descriptions  
136 that accompany the figures are not written simply enough or they do not properly explain the  
137 diagram, there will be a higher chance that the concept will not be understood.

138 There are studies that conclude that diagrams do not aid in understanding and are more  
139 difficult to understand than the worded descriptions. A study done by Henderson (1999) found  
140 that accuracy of diagram interpretation relied heavily on the learner's existing knowledge. This  
141 would pose as a problem for many of the park visitors who do not have the necessary geologic  
142 background to easily grasp the concepts. This study also found that interpretation relied upon the  
143 understanding of drawing conventions, which is difficult when they vary from diagram to  
144 diagram. This is something that was taken account of while creating the GeoSite board.  
145 Diagrams were created with the goal of depicting the volcanic processes as simply as possible.

146 A brief study was completed to measure the potential success of the Panama Rock  
147 GeoSite informational board. The completed survey, although it did yield positive results, should  
148 be taken as a very approximate base line for further work to be done from in the future. This was  
149 a very small-scale survey completed in order to get a grasp on how effective the GeoSite  
150 information board was. In order to obtain results that can be used as concrete evidence for this  
151 idea that geoeeducation is more successful through the combined use of descriptions and  
152 diagrams, a larger scale study should be done in a GeoPark that is already established with a  
153 steady stream of visitors. By doing this, the GeoSite framework created in this study can be  
154 further improved and will be successful right-off the bat when the Horomaka Banks Peninsula  
155 GeoPark is established.

#### 156 *Recommendations for future work*

157 The next steps towards in the project would include going through this process and  
158 making a summarized informational board for all 12 primary GeoSites. The next major phase  
159 that this process will need to be implemented in is the design of the GeoPark headquarters. This  
160 headquarters should be where the large in-depth descriptions of the main Banks Peninsula  
161 geology, ecology, and cultural backgrounds of the region should be displayed and put out there

162 in an interactive manner. If the information is relayed simply and in an interactive hands-on way  
163 it will get visitors excited to go out and explore the park and dive into the rich heritage of Banks  
164 Peninsula.

## 165 **CONCLUSIONS**

166 This study has established a framework that could be followed by future GeoSites. The  
167 resulting informational board was created in an attempt to highlight and explain the exposed  
168 volcanic features seen around the Panama Rock region. It used simple explanations and diagrams  
169 to depict these subsurface processes. Some of these processes were shown all the way down to a  
170 microscopic level and all processes were related back to the larger scale of Akaroa volcano. A  
171 small study was completed in order to attempt to understand whether these diagrams successfully  
172 aided understanding of geological concepts or not. The results yielded a positive result indicating  
173 increased understanding with the addition of a diagram to the worded description. However, this  
174 was a very small study; further studies need to be done to accurately measure the effects of  
175 including diagrams with worded descriptions.

## 176 **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

177 A huge thank you goes out to Samuel Hampton for his guidance and help on the  
178 formatting of this poster. I'd also like to thank Darren Gravley for his help throughout the  
179 semester. This project couldn't be done without the Frontiers Abroad program, its countless  
180 research opportunities and intensive field camp. One more thank you goes out to the other  
181 awesome members of the Panama Rock region field team: Jenn Garvin, Rowan Lowden, and  
182 Karina Graeter!

183 **RESOURCES**

184

185 Acocella, V., Porreca, M., Neri, M., Mattei, M., and Funicello, R. 1973. Fissure eruptions at  
186 Mount Vesuvius (Italy): Insights on the shallow propagation of dikes at volcanoes. *Geology*  
187 (*Boulder*). 34(8), p. 673-676

188

189 Calvari, S., Branca, S., Corsaro, R.A., De Beni, E., Miraglia, L., Norini, G., Wijbrans, J., and  
190 Boschi, E. 2011. Reconstruction of the eruptive activity on the NE sector of Stromboli volcano:  
191 timing of flank eruptions since 15 ka. *Bull Volcanol.* 73, p. 101-112

192

193 Curtin, L.G. 2012. Origin and evolution of Panama Rock dike and dome, Banks Peninsula, New  
194 Zealand. *Frontiers Abroad Research Projects*

195

196 Garvin, J. 2013. Dome Formation of Panama Rock, Banks Peninsula, New Zealand; Using Joint  
197 and Flow Banding Relationships. *Frontiers Abroad Research Projects*

198

199 Hampton, S. J., & Cole, J. W. 2009. Lyttelton Volcano, Banks Peninsula, New  
200 Zealand: Primary volcanic landforms and eruptive centre identification.  
201 *Geomorphology*, 104(3-4), p. 284-298. Elsevier B.V

202

203 Henderson, G. 1999. Learning with diagrams. *Australian Science Teachers Journal.* 45(2), p.17.

204

205 Johnson, J. 2012. Insights into the magmatic evolution of Akaroa Volcano from the  
206 geochemistry of volcanic deposits in Okains Bay, New Zealand. *Frontiers Abroad Research*  
207 *Projects.*

208

209 Lewis, G.M. and Hampton, S.J. 2012. Dome Reconstruction via Geographic Information  
210 Systems: A Case Study of Panama Rock, Banks Peninsula, New Zealand. *Frontiers Abroad*  
211 *Research Projects.*

212• Lowden, R. 2013. Dikes and Deposits: Distinguishing the history of a fissure system on Akaroa  
213 Volcano, Okains Bay, New Zealand. *Frontiers Abroad Research Projects*

214 Piranha, J., Aparecida Del Lama, E., and de La Corte Bacci, D. 2001. Geoparks in Brazil—  
215 strategy of Geoconservation and Development. *Geoheritage.* 3, p. 289-298

216

217 Science Teaching in Public Schools. *Nature.* 90(2255), p. 555-557, ISSN 0028-0836

218

219 Sigurdsson, H. *Encyclopedia of Volcanoes.* Academic Press. p. 307-318.

220

221 Timm, C., Hoernle, K., Van Den Bogaard, P., Bindeman, I., & Weaver, S. 2009.  
222 Geochemical Evolution of Intraplate Volcanism at Banks Peninsula, New Zealand: Interaction  
223 Between Asthenospheric and Lithospheric Melts. *Journal of Petrology*, 50(6), 989-1023.  
224 doi:10.1093/petrology/egp0

225

226 Wada, Y. 1991. Magma flow directions inferred from preferred orientations of phenocryst in a  
227 composite feeder dike, Miyake-Jima, Japan. *Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research*.  
228 49, p. 119-126  
229



230 *Appendix 1.* The two onion-skin jointing explanations given to participants in the study.

231

232 Group 1 -

233 There is a small circular lava dome to the northeast of Panama Rock. This dome exhibits an  
234 interesting onion-like internal structure that has been exposed by quarrying. This type of dome  
235 forms on the surface as lava upwells and accumulates in the center. As material continues to  
236 accumulate it pushes older layers outwards. This process forms concentric onion-like layers. As  
237 it cools the rocks contract and the joints form in the onion skin pattern.

238

239

240 Group 2 -

241 There is a small circular lava dome to the northeast of Panama Rock. This dome exhibits an  
242 interesting onion-like internal structure that has been exposed by quarrying. This type of dome  
243 forms on the surface as lava upwells and accumulates in the center. As material continues to  
244 accumulate it pushes older layers outwards. This process forms concentric onion-like layers. As  
245 it cools the rocks contract and the joints form in the onion skin pattern.

246



Onion layering from west side of cryptodome

247

